

#### REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1 to 32 are currently pending in the application. The Examiner has objected to the specification due to the incorporation by reference of certain patent applications. The Examiner has also objected to various claims based alleged informalities. The Examiner has rejected claims 1, 5-7, 10-13, 18-20, 23 and 28-30 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as allegedly being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 7,193,968 to Kapoor. Claims 2, 14, 15, 24 and 25 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being obvious over Kapoor in view of U.S. Patent No. 7,120,931 to Cheriton. Claims 8, 9, 21, 22, 31 and 32 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being obvious over Kapoor in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,828,846 to Kirby. The Examiner has indicated, however, that claims 3, 4, 16, 17, 26 and 27 contain allowable subject matter.

In response to the Examiner's objection to the specification, Applicant has amended the specification to include the current status of the referenced patent applications.

In addition, Applicant has also amended the specification to spell out the acronym (MIME), as multipurpose internet mail extensions, in paragraph [0063].

To facilitate allowance of the claims, Applicant has amended the claims to address the Examiner's objections and, in response to the indication of allowable subject matter, amended the claims as follows. Specifically, Applicant has amended claim 1 to incorporate the limitations of allowed claim 3, amended claim 13 to incorporate the limitations of allowed claim 16, and amended claim 23 to incorporate the limitations of allowed claim 26 . Applicant has recast allowed claims 4, 17 and 27 as independent claims. Applicant has also canceled claims 2, 3, 15, 16, 25 and 26. Applicant further

Appl. No.: 10/676,383  
Amdt. Dated November 21, 2007  
Response to Office Action of July 31, 2007

submits herewith the fee for the independent claims in excess of three.

In light of the foregoing, Applicant believes that all currently pending claims are presently in condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully requests a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. If the Examiner believes that any further action by Applicant is necessary to place this application in condition for allowance, Applicant requests a telephone conference with the undersigned at the telephone number set forth below.

Respectfully Submitted,  
LAW OFFICE OF MARK J. SPOLYAR

Date: November 21, 2007  
Customer Number: 30505  
Law Office of Mark J. Spolyar  
2200 Cesar Chavez St, Suite 8  
San Francisco, CA 94124  
415-826-7966  
415-480-1780 fax

*/Mark J. Spolyar/*  
Mark J. Spolyar  
Reg. No. 42,164